arXiv:1502.07491v3 [math.CA] 17 Jan 2016

Explicit characterization of some commuting differential operators of rank 2

V.Oganesyan

1.Introduction

If two differential operators

$$L_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} u_i(x)\partial_x^i, \quad L_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} v_i(x)\partial_x^i$$

commute, then there is a nonzero polynomial $R(z,w)$ such that $R(L_n, L_m) = 0$ (see [1]). The curve Γ defined by $R(z,w)=0$ is called the *spectral curve.* The genus of the curve $R(z,w)=0$ is called the genus of commuting pair. If

$$L_n\psi = z\psi, \quad L_m\psi = w\psi,$$

then $(z,w) \in \Gamma$. For almost all $(z,w) \in \Gamma$ the dimension of the space of common eigenfunctions ψ is the same. The dimension of the space of common eigenfunctions of two commuting differential operators is called the *rank*. The rank is a common divisor of m and n.

If the rank equals 1, then there are explicit formulas for coefficients of commutative operators in terms of Riemann theta-functions (see [2]).

The case when rank is greater than one is much more difficult. The first examples of commuting ordinary scalar differential operators of the nontrivial ranks 2 and 3 and the nontrivial genus g=1 were constructed by Dixmier [8] for the nonsingular elliptic spectral curve $w^2 = z^3 - \alpha$, where α is an arbitrary nonzero constant:

$$\begin{gathered} L = (\partial_x^2 + x^3 + \alpha)^2 + 2x, \\ M = (\partial_x^2 + x^3 + \alpha)^3 + 3x\partial_x^2 + 3\partial_x + 3x(x^2+\alpha), \end{gathered}$$

where L and M is the commuting pair of the Dixmier operators of rank 2, genus 1. There is an example

$$\begin{gathered} L = (\partial_x^3 + x^2 + \alpha)^2 + 2\partial_x, \\ M = (\partial_x^3 + x^2 + \alpha)^3 + 3\partial_x^4 + 3(x^2+\alpha)\partial_x + 3x, \end{gathered}$$

This work was supported by the program “Leading Scientific Schools” under grant NSh-4833.2014.1.

where L and M is the commuting pair of the Dixmier operators of rank 3, genus 1.

The general classification of commuting ordinary differential operators of rank greater than 1 was obtained by Krichever [3]. The general form of commuting operators of rank 2 for an arbitrary elliptic spectral curve was found by Krichever and Novikov [4]. The general form of operators of rank 3 for an arbitrary elliptic spectral curve (the general commuting operators of rank 3, genus 1 are parameterized by two arbitrary functions) was found by Mokhov [5], [6]. Also operators of rank greater than 1 was considered in [15], [16].

Mironov in [7] constructed examples of operators

$$L = (\partial_x^2 + A_3x^3 + A_2x^2 + A_1x + A_0)^2 + g(g+1)A_3x,$$
$$M^2 = L^{2g+1} + a_{2g}L^{2g} + ... + a_1L + a_0,$$

where a_i are some constants and A_i, $A_3 \neq 0$, are arbitrary constants. Operators L and M are commuting operators of rank 2, genus g. Furthermore, in [9] it was proved by Mironov that operators L_1 and M_1

$$L_1 = (\partial_x^2 + \alpha_1\mathcal{P}(x) + \alpha_0)^2 + \alpha_1g_2g(g+1)\mathcal{P}(x), \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0,$$
$$M_1^2 = L_1^{2g+1} + a_{2g}L_1^{2g} + ... + a_1L_1 + a_0,$$

where a_i are some constants, α_i are arbitrary constants and $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the equation

$$(\mathcal{P}'(x))^2 = g_2\mathcal{P}^2(x) + g_1\mathcal{P}(x) + g_0, \quad g_2 \neq 0,$$

where g_1 and g_2 are arbitrary constants, is a commuting pair of rank 2, genus g.

Let $\wp(x)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying the equation $(\wp'(x))^2 = 4\wp^3(x) + g_2\wp(x) + g_3$. Mironov proved in [9] that operators L_2 and M_2

$$L_2 = (\partial_x^2 + \alpha_1\wp(x) + \alpha_0)^2 + s_1\wp(x) + s_2\wp^2(x),$$
$$M_2^2 = L_2^{2g+1} + b_{2g}L_2^{2g} + ... + b_1L_2 + b_0,$$

where b_i are some constants, $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{4} - 2g^2 - 2g$, $s_1 = \frac{1}{4}g(g+1)(16\alpha_0 + 5g_2)$, $s_2 = -4g(g+2)(g^2-1)$ and α_0 is an arbitrary constant, are also a commuting pair of rank 2, genus g. Using the same methods many other examples were found [17], [18].

Examples of commuting ordinary differential operators of arbitrary genus and arbitrary rank with polynomial coefficients were constructed in [11] by Mokhov.

Consider

$$L_1 = (\partial_x^2 + A_6x^6 + A_2x^2)^2 + 16g(g+1)A_6x^4,$$
$$L_2 = (\partial_x^2 + A_4x^4 + A_2x^2 + A_0)^2 + 4g(g+1)A_4x^2,$$

where $g \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_6 \neq 0$, $A_4 \neq 0$, A_2, A_0 are arbitrary constants. Operators L_1 and L_2 commute with operators of order 4g+2 (see [12], [13]). The spectral curves of these operators have the form $w^2 = z^{2m+1} + a_{2m}z^{2m} + ... + a_1z + a_0$. Moreover, the following theorems are proved in [12], [13].

1) If $L = (\partial_x^2 + A_nx^n + A_{n-1}x^{n-1} + ... + A_0)^2 + B_kx^k + B_{k-1}x^{k-1} + ... + B_0$, where $n > 3, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \neq 0$, $B_k \neq 0$, commutes with a differential operator M of order $4g + 2$ and M, L are operators of rank 2, then $k = n - 2$ and $B_k = (n-2)^2m(m+1)A_n$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

2) For $n > 6$ operator $L = (\partial_x^2 + A_nx^n)^2 + B_{n-2}x^{n-2}$ does not commute with any differential operator M of order $4g+2$, where M and L could be a pair of rank 2.

3) If $n = 5$, then $L = (\partial_x^2 + Ax^5)^2 + 18Ax^3$, $A \neq 0$, commutes with a differential operator M of order $4g + 2$ for all g and M,L are operators of rank 2.

4) The operator $(\partial_x^2 + Ax^5)^2 + 9m(m+1)Ax^3$, $A \neq 0$ does not commute with any differential operator M of order $4g + 2$, for $m > 1$, where M and L could be a pair of rank 2 .

Let us consider the operator

$$L_4 = \partial_x^4 + u(x).$$

Assume that $u(x)$ has poles at points $a_1, a_2, ...$ In a neighborhood of a_i

$$u(x) = \frac{\varphi_{i,-k}}{(x-a_i)^k} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-k+1}}{(x-a_i)^{k-1}} + ... + \varphi_{i,0} + \varphi_{i,1}(x-a_i) + O((x-a_i)^2).$$

The main results of this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1
If $L_4 = \partial_x^4 + u(x)$ commutes with a differential operator M of order $4g+2$ and M, L_4 are operators of rank 2, then $u(x)$ can have pole only of order 4, $\varphi_{i,-4} = n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_{i,4k-l} = 0$, where $k = 0, ..., n_i$, $l = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover $\varphi_{i,4r-1} = \varphi_{i,4r-3} = 0$, where $r = n_i + 1, ..., g$. Function $u(x)$ can't have isolated pole at infinity.

Corollary 1.2
Suppose $u(x)$ is elliptic, simply-periodic or rational function and hasn't isolated singularity at infinity. Let a_1 be the unique pole in a fundamental parallelogram, a period-strip or the complex plane respectively. The operator $L_4 = \partial_x^4 + u(x)$ commutes with an operator M of order $4g+2$ and M, L_4 are operators of rank 2 if and only if $\varphi_{1,-4} = g(4g+1)(4g+3)(4g+4)$, $\varphi_{1,4k-l} = 0$, where $k = 0, ..., g$, $l = 1, 2, 3$.

Corollary 1.3
Let $\wp(x)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying the equation $(\wp'(x))^2 = 4\wp^3(x) + g_2\wp(x) + g_3$. The Operator

$$L_4 = \partial_x^4 + n(4n+1)(4n+3)(4n+4)\wp^2(x),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, commutes with an operator of order $4n+2$ if and only if $\wp(x)$ is a solution of the equation $(\wp'(x))^2 = 4(\wp(x))^3 + g_2\wp(x)$. If $g_2 = 0$, then we obtain $L_4 = \partial_x^4 + \frac{n(4n+1)(4n+3)(4n+4)}{x^4}$. Calculations show that for n less than 8 the spectral curve is non-singular for almost all g_2.

Example 1.4
Let $\wp(x)$ be the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying the equation $(\wp'(x))^2 = 4\wp^3(x) + g_2\wp(x) + g_3$. Consider the operator

$$L_4 = \partial_x^4 + 280\wp^2(x) + 280\wp^2(x-a),$$

Suppose that $g_3 = 0$ and $a = \omega_1$, ω_2 or $\omega_1 + \omega_2$, where ω_i are half-periods. Then L_4 commutes with an operator of order 6.

Analyzing the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain the following conjecture

Conjecture
Let $u(x)$ be elliptic (meromorphic) function with finite number of poles in a fundamental parallelogram (in $\mathbb{C}$ and hasn't isolated pole at infinity). Assume $S = \sum_{i=1}^{i=m} n_i + 1$, where m is number of poles. If $\varphi_{i,-4} = n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$, $\varphi_{i,4k-l} = 0$, $\varphi_{i,4r-1} = \varphi_{i,4r-3} = 0$, where $k = 0, ..., n_i$, $r = n_i+1, ..., S$ and $l = 1, 2, 3$, then $L_4 = \partial_x^4 + u(x)$ commutes with a differential operator of order $4S+2$.

Theorem 1.5
If L_4 commutes with a differential operator M of order $4g+2$, M and L_4 are operators of rank 2 and $u(x)$ has pole at a_i, then solutions of the equation $\psi^{(4)}(x) + u(x)\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x)$ have singularities at a_i of the following type

$x^{\sigma_{i,r}}g(x)$, where $r=1,2,3,4$ and $g(x)$ is holomorphic at a_i,
$\sigma_{i,1}=\frac{1}{2}(1-4n_i-\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,2}=\frac{1}{2}(1-4n_i+\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,3}=\frac{1}{2}(5+4n_i-\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,4}=\frac{1}{2}(5+4n_i+\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$.
This means that common eigenfunctions of commuting operators always have branch points. Hence L_4 doesn't commute with an operator of odd order.

The author wishes to express gratitude to Professor O. I. Mokhov for advice and help in writing this paper.

2. Commuting differential operators of rank 2

Consider the operator

$$L=(\partial_x^2+V(x))^2+W(x) \tag{1}$$

From [7] it follows that the operator L commutes with an operator M of order $4g+2$ and the spectral curve of L and M is hyperelliptic curve of genus g and hence operators L and M are operators of rank 2, if and only if there exists a polynomial

$$Q=z^g+a_1(x)z^{g-1}+a_2(x)z^{g-2}+...+a_{g-1}(x)z+a_g(x)$$

that the following relation is satisfied

$$Q^{(5)}+4VQ'''+6V'Q''+2Q'(2z-2W+V'')-2QW'\equiv 0, \tag{2}$$

Q' means $\partial_x Q$. The spectral curve has the form

$$4w^2=4F(z)=4(z-W)Q^2-4V(Q')^2+(Q'')^2-2Q'Q'''+2Q(2V'Q'+4VQ''+Q^{(4)}). \tag{3}$$

If $V(x)\equiv 0$, then we have

$$Q^{(5)}+2Q'(2z-2W)-2QW'\equiv 0, \tag{4}$$

$$4w^2=4F(z)=4zQ^2+(Q'')^2-2Q'Q'''+2QQ^{(4)}.$$

Using (4) we get

$$4Q'z\equiv -Q^{(5)}+2QW'+4Q'W.$$

So we have the following system :

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = W/2 + C_1 \\ 4a_2' = -a_1^{(5)} + 2a_1W' + 4a_1'W \\ ... \\ 4a_{i+1}' = -a_i^{(5)} + 2a_iW' + 4a_i'W \\ ... \\ 4a_g' = -a_{g-1}^{(5)} + 2a_{g-1}W' + 4a_{g-1}'W \\ 0 = -a_g^{(5)} + 2a_gW' + 4a_g'W \end{cases}$$

It is clear from the system that the result in [7] can be formulated in the following way. Let $a_1 = W/2 + C_1$, where C_1 is an arbitrary constant. Define a_i by recursion

$$a_{i+1} = C_{i+1} + \frac{1}{4}\int(-a_i^{(5)} + 2a_iW' + 4a_i'W)dx. \tag{5}$$

We see that if $V(x) \equiv 0$, then (1) commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$ and these operators are operators of rank 2 if and only if $a_{g+1} \equiv const$. From the proof of Theorem 2 in [7] easy to see that $a_i(x)$ is a polynomial in $W(x)$, $W'(x)$, $W''(x)$,... But we will prove this fact by direct calculations. We have

$$\int(W(x)a_1'(x))dx = \int(\frac{W(x)W'(x)}{2}) = \frac{W^2(x)}{4},$$

$$\int(3W^2(x)a_1'(x) - a_1^{(5)}(x)W(x))dx =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}W^3(x) - \frac{1}{4}(W''(x))^2 + \frac{1}{2}W'(x)W'''(x) - \frac{1}{2}W(x)W^{(4)}(x).$$

Let us suppose that a_k, $\int W(x)a_k'(x)dx$ and $\int(3W^2(x)a_k'(x) - a_k^{(5)}(x)W(x))dx$ are polynomials in $W(x)$, $W'(x)$,$W''(x)$,... We obtain

$$a_{k+1}(x) = C_{k+1} + \frac{1}{4}\int(-a_k^{(5)}(x) + 2a_k(x)W'(x) + 4a_k'(x)W(x))dx =$$

$$= C_{k+1} - \frac{1}{4}\int a_k^{(5)}(x)dx + \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d(a_k(x)W(x))}{dx}dx + \frac{1}{2}\int a_k'(x)W(x)dx.$$

So, we see that $a_{k+1}(x)$ is polynomial in $W(x)$, $W'(x)$,$W''(x)$... And analogously easy to check that $\int W(x)a_{k+1}'(x)dx$ and $\int(3W^2(x)a_{k+1}'(x) - a_{k+1}^{(5)}(x)W(x))dx$ are polynomial in $W(x)$, $W'(x)$,$W''(x)$...

Let L_4 be the operator

$$L_4 = \partial_x^4 + u(x).$$

The commutativity condition of L_4 and L_6 is equivalent to the equation

$$4C_1u'(x)+6u(x)u'(x)-u^{(5)}(x)=0,$$

for some constant C_1. Consider differential equations in $u(x)$

$$0=f_{j+1}(x)=C_{i+1}+\int(u(x)f_j'(x)+\frac{u'(x)f_j(x)}{2}-\frac{f_j^{(5)}(x)}{4})dx, \qquad (6)$$

where

$$f_1=C_1+\frac{u(x)}{2}.$$

We see that L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$ if and only if there exist constants $C_1,...,C_g$ such that $f_{g+1}\equiv const.$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 and corollaries

3.1 Proof of theorem 1.1

Assume that $u(x)$ has poles at points $a_1,a_2,...$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.1
If L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, then $u(x)$ can have poles in $\mathbb{C}$ only of order 4.

Proof. Assume that $u(x)$ has pole of order k at point a_i. Since L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, we have $f'_{g+1}\equiv 0$. Suppose f_g has pole at point a_i of order m; then $u(x)f_g'(x)+\frac{u'(x)f_g(x)}{2}$ has pole of order $k+m+1$ and $f_g^{(5)}(x)$ has pole of order $m+5$. Hence, we see that if $f_{g+1}\equiv 0$, then k+m+1=m+5. We get $k=4$.

□

By $A^k_{i,m}$ denote a coefficient in the term $(x-a_i)^m$ in Laurent series of f_k at point a_i. We see from Lemma 3.1 that f_k has pole of order $4k$ at point a_i. Assume that Laurent series of $u(x)$ has the form

$$u(x)=\frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^4}+\frac{\varphi_{i,-3}}{(x-a_i)^3}+\frac{\varphi_{i,-2}}{(x-a_i)^2}+\frac{\varphi_{i,-1}}{x-a_i}+$$

$$+\varphi_{i,0}+\varphi_{i,1}(x-a_i)+\varphi_{i,2}(x-a_i)^2+\varphi_{i,3}(x-a_i)^3+\varphi_{i,4}(x-a_i)^4++O((x-a_i)^5).$$

Lemma 3.2
If L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, then $\varphi_{i,-4} = n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-4} = \frac{(2k+1)A^k_{i,-4k}(\varphi_{-4} - k(4k+1)(4k+3)(4k+4))}{2k+2}.$$

Proof. In a neighborhood of a_i

$$f_j = \frac{A^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j+1}).$$

Easy to see that

$$f'_{j+1} = (\frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^4} + O(\frac{1}{(x-a_i)^3}))(-\frac{4jA^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j+1}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j})+$$

$$+(-\frac{2\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^5} + O(\frac{1}{(x-a_i)^4}))(\frac{A^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j+1})+$$

$$+\frac{j(4j+1)(4j+2)(4j+3)(4j+4)A^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j+5}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j-4}) =$$

$$= -\frac{(4j+2)(\varphi_{i,-4} - j(4j+1)(4j+3)(4j+4))A^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j+5}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j-4}).$$

So, integrating we obtain that

$$A^{j+1}_{i,-4j-4} = \frac{(2j+1)(\varphi_{i,-4} - j(4j+1)(4j+3)(4j+4))A^j_{i,-4j}}{2j+2}$$

If $f'_{g+1} \equiv 0$, then the leading term of principal part must vanish. Hence, $\varphi_{i,-4} = n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$ for some n_i.

□

Lemma 3.3

Suppose $j < n_i + 1$ and in a neighborhood of a_i

$$f_j = \frac{A^j_{i,-4j}}{(x-a_i)^{4j}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4j+1});$$

then $A^j_{i,-4j} > 0$ and if $j \geqslant n_i + 1$, then $A^j_{i,-4j} = 0$.

Proof. Since $\varphi_{i,-4} = n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$ and

$$A^{j+1}_{i,-4j-4} = \frac{(2j+1)(\varphi_{i,-4} - j(4j+1)(4j+3)(4j+4))A^j_{i,4j}}{2j+2}, \qquad (7)$$

we see that if $j < n_i + 1$, then $A^j_{i,-4j} > 0$.
If $j \geqslant n_i + 1$, then $A^j_{i,-4j} = 0$. $\square$

Let us prove that $u(x)$ can't have isolated pole at infinity. Assume the converse. Then $u(x)$ has pole at infinity of order m. We have

$$f_1 = A^1_{\infty,m}x^m + O(x^{m-1}) = \frac{\varphi_{\infty,m}}{2} + O(x^{m-1})$$

But f_2 has pole at infinity of order $2m$ and $A^2_{\infty,2m} = \frac{2mA^1_{\infty,m}\varphi_{\infty,m}+m\varphi_{\infty,m}A^1_{\infty,m}}{4m} \neq 0$. In general $A^{k+1}_{\infty,(k+1)m} = \frac{2kmA^k_{\infty,km}\varphi_{\infty,m}+m\varphi_{\infty,m}A^k_{\infty,km}}{2m(k+1)} = \frac{\varphi_{\infty,m}A^k_{\infty,mk}(2k+1)}{2(k+1)} \neq 0$. So, order of pole increases and there is no k such that f_k vanishes.

Lemma 3.4
If L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, then $\varphi_{i,-3} = \varphi_{i,-2} = \varphi_{i,-1} = 0$ for all i.

Proof. By definition, $f_1(x) = C_1 + \frac{u(x)}{2}$ in a neighborhood of a_i has the form

$$f_1 = \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{2(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-l}}{2(x-a_i)^l} + O((x-a_i)^{-l+1}),$$

where $l = 1,2,3$. Therefore, $f_2'(x) = u(x)f_1'(x) + \frac{u'(x)f_1(x)}{2} - \frac{f_1^{(5)}(x)}{4}$ in a neighborhood of a_i

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-l}}{(x-a_i)^l} + O((x-a_i)^{-l+1})\right)\left(-\frac{2\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^5} - \frac{l\varphi_{i,-l}}{2(x-a_i)^{l+1}} + O((x-a_i)^{-l})\right) +$$

$$+\left(-\frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^5} - \frac{l\varphi_{i,-l}}{4(x-a_i)^{l+1}} + O((x-a_i)^{-l})\right)\left(\frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-l}}{(x-a_i)^l} + O((x-a_i)^{-l+1})\right) +$$

$$+\frac{840\varphi_{i,-4}}{(x-a_i)^9} + \frac{l(l+1)(l+2)(l+3)(l+4)\varphi_{i,-l}}{8(x-a_i)^{l+5}} + O((x-a_i)^{-l-4}) =$$

$$= -\frac{3\varphi_{i,-4}(\varphi_{i,-4}-280)}{(x-a_i)^9} - \frac{\varphi_{i,-l}(4+l)(6\varphi_{i,-4} - l(l+1)(l+2)(l+3))}{8(x-a_i)^{l+5}} + O((x-a_i)^{-l-4})$$

Integrating, we obtain

$$f_2(x) = \frac{3\varphi_{i,-4}(\varphi_{i,-4}-280)}{8(x-a_i)^8} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-l}(6\varphi_{i,-4} - l(l+1)(l+2)(l+3))}{8(x-a_i)^{l+4}} + O((x-a_i)^{-l-3})$$

Note that $6\varphi_{i,-4} - l(l+1)(l+2)(l+3) > 0$. Consider f_k, where $k \leqslant n_i$.

$$f_k = \frac{A^k_{i,-4k}}{(x-a_i)^{4k}} + \frac{A^k_{i,-4k+4-l}}{(x-a_i)^{4k-4+l}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4k+3-l}).$$

Let us prove that

$$A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} = \varphi_{i,-l} K^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} \quad for \quad k \leqslant n_i, \tag{8}$$

where $K^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} > 0$ and doesn't depend on $\varphi_{i,-l}$. The proof is by induction on k. We checked this for $k = 2$. By the induction hypothesis, $A^k_{i,-4k+4-l} = \varphi_{i,-l} K^k_{i,-4k+4-l}$ and $K^k_{i,-4k+4+l} > 0$.
Easy to see that

$$f_{k+1} = \frac{(2k+1)(\varphi_{i,-4} - k(4k+1)(4k+3)(4k+4))A^k_{i,-4k}}{(2k+2)(x-a_i)^{4k+4}} +$$

$$+ \frac{\varphi_{i,-l} A^k_{i,-4k}(8k+l)}{2(4k+l)(x-a_i)^{4k+l}} +$$

$$\frac{(4k-2+l)(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4k-4+l)(4k-3+l)(4k-1+l)(4k+l))A^k_{i,-4k+4-l}}{4(4k+l)(x-a_i)^{4k+l}} + \ldots$$

$$= \frac{A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-4}}{(x-a_i)^{4k+4}} + \frac{A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l}}{(x-a_i)^{4k+l}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4k+1-l})$$

We see that $A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} = \varphi_{i,-l} K^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l}$. We obtain that $K^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} > 0$ because $A^k_{i,-4k} > 0$, where $k \leqslant n_i$. So, (8) is proved.
We know from Lemma 3.2 that $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4n_i-4} = 0$. If $k \geqslant n_i + 1$, then

$$A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-l} = \frac{A^k_{i,-4k+4-l}(4k-2+l)(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4k-4+l)(4k-3+l)(4k-1+l)(4k+l))}{4(4k+l)}.$$

Easy to see that if $k \geqslant n_i + 1$, then

$$4n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4) - (4k-4+l)(4k-3+l)(4k-1+l)(4k+l)) < 0.$$

Finally we obtain that if there exists a g such that $f_{g+1} \equiv 0$, then $A^k_{i,-4k+4-l} = \varphi_{i,-l} K^k_{i,-4k+4-l} = 0$ for some k. But $K^k_{i,-4k+4-l} \neq 0$ for all k. Hence, $\varphi_{i,-l} = 0$. □

Now let us prove the main part of Theorem 1.1.

From Lemma 3.4 we know that $f_1(x) = C_1 + \frac{u(x)}{2}$ in a neighborhood of a_i has the form

$$f_1 = \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{2(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,0}}{2} + \widetilde{C}_1 + \frac{\varphi_{i,4-l}(x-a_i)^{4-l}}{2} + O((x-a_i)^{5-l}),$$

where $l = 1, 2, 3$. Then $f_2'(x) = u(x) f_1'(x) + \frac{u'(x) f_1(x)}{2} - \frac{f_1^{(5)}(x)}{4}$ in a neighborhood of a_i

$$f_2 = \frac{3(\varphi_{i,-4} - 280)\varphi_{i,-4}}{8(x-a_i)^8} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}(3\varphi_{i,0} + 2C_1)}{4(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{3\varphi_{i,-4}\varphi_{i,4-l}}{4(x-a_i)^l} + O((x-a_i)^{-l+1}). \tag{9}$$

We see that the coefficient in the term $(x-a_i)^{-l}$ equals $\varphi_{i,l}\frac{3\varphi_{i,-4}}{4}$. Consider f_k

$$f_k = \frac{A^k_{i,-4k}}{(x-a_i)^{4k}} + \frac{A^k_{i,-4k+4}}{(x-a_i)^{4k-4}} + \frac{A^k_{i,-4k+8-l}}{(x-a_i)^{4k-8+l}} + O((x-a_i)^{-4k+9-l})$$

Let us show that $A^k_{i,-4k+8-l} = \varphi_{i,l} K^k_{i,-4k+8-l}$, where $K^k_{i,-4k+8-l} \neq 0$ and doesn't depend on $\varphi_{i,4-l}$. The proof is by induction on k. We checked this for $k = 2$.

$$\begin{gathered} f_{k+1} = \frac{A^{k+1}_{i,-4k-4}}{(x-a_i)^{4k+4}} + \frac{A^{k+1}_{i,-4k}}{(x-a_i)^{4k}} + \frac{(8k-4+l)\varphi_{i,4-l} A^k_{i,-4k}}{2(4k-4+l)(x-a_i)^{4k-4+l}} + \\ + \frac{(4k-6+l)(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4k-8+l)(4k-7+l)(4k-5+l)(4k-4+l))A^k_{i,-4k+8-l}}{4(4k-4+l)(x-a_i)^{4k-4+l}} + \\ + O((x-a_i)^{-4k+5-l}) \end{gathered} \tag{10}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{gathered} K^{k+1}_{i,-4k+4-l} = \frac{(8k-4+l)A^k_{i,-4k}}{2(4k-4+l)} + \\ + \frac{(4k-6+l)(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4k-8+l)(4k-7+l)(4k-5+l)(4k-4+l))K^k_{i,-4k+8-l}}{4(4k-4+l)}. \end{gathered}$$

We see that if $k \leqslant n_i + 1$, then $K^{k+1}_{i,-4k+4-l} > 0$. If $k > n_i + 1$, then from Lemma 3.3 we obtain that $A^k_{i,-4k} = 0$. Hence, if $k > n_i + 1$, then $K^k_{i,-4k+8-l} < 0$. But $A^k_{i,-4k+8-l} = \varphi_{i,l} K^k_{i,-4k+8-l}$ and we must find a g such that $f_{g+1} \equiv 0$. It now follows that $A^k_{i,-4k+8-l} = 0$ for some $k \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{i,l} = 0$.

In general, assume $\varphi_{i,4k-l} = 0$, where $k = 1, ..., m-1$, $l = 1, 2, 3$ and $m \leqslant n_i$.

We have already checked this for $m = 2$. Let us prove that $\varphi_{i,4m-l} = 0$. We have

$$f_1 = \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{2(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,0}}{2} + C_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{t=m-1} \frac{\varphi_{i,4t}(x-a_i)^{4t}}{2} + \frac{\varphi_{i,4m-l}(x-a_i)^{4m-l}}{2} + ... \tag{11}$$

Then

$$f_k = \sum_{t=-k}^{t=m-k} A_{i,4t}^{k}(x-a_i)^{4t} + A_{i,4m-4k+4-l}^{k}(x-a_i)^{4m-4k+4-l} + ...$$

$$f_{k+1} = \sum_{t=-k-1}^{t=m-k-1} A_{i,4t}^{k+1}(x-a_i)^{4t} + A_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1}(x-a_i)^{4m-4k-l} + ...$$

Calculations show that

$$\begin{gathered} A_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1} = \\ = \frac{(4m-4k+2-l)A_{i,4m-4k+4-l}^{k}}{4(4m-4k-l)} \times \\ \times(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4m-4k+4-l)(4m-4k+3-l)(4m-4k+1-l)(4m-4k-l)) + \\ + \frac{(4m-8k-l)\varphi_{i,4m-l}A_{i,-4k}^{k}}{2(4m-4k-l)}. \end{gathered} \tag{12}$$

If we take $m = 1$ in (12), we get (10).
Since $A_{i,4m-l}^{1} = \frac{\varphi_{i,4m-l}}{2}$, we obtain from (12) that $A_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1} = \varphi_{i,4m-l}K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1}$, where $K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1}$ doesn't depend on $\varphi_{i,4m-l}$.

$$\begin{gathered} K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1} = \\ = \frac{(4m-4k+2-l)K_{i,4m-4k+4-l}^{k}}{4(4m-4k-l)} \times \\ \times(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4m-4k+4-l)(4m-4k+3-l)(4m-4k+1-l)(4m-4k-l)) + \\ + \frac{(4m-8k-l)A_{i,-4k}^{k}}{2(4m-4k-l)}. \end{gathered} \tag{13}$$

Lemma 3.5

Number $K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{n_i+1} \neq 0$ *for* $m \leqslant n_i$.

Proof. Consider two cases.
1) $l = 2$.
From (13) we obtain that

$$A_{i,-2}^{m+1} = \frac{(4m+2)\varphi_{i,4m-2}A_{i,-4m}^{m}}{4} = \varphi_{i,4m-2}\frac{(4m+2)A_{i,-4m}^{m}}{4} = \varphi_{i,4m-l}K_{i,-2}^{m+1}.$$

We see from Lemma 3.2 that if $m \leqslant n_i$, then $K_{i,-2}^{m+1} > 0$. But for $k > m$ expression (13) is positive. So $K_{i,4m-4k-2}^{k+1} > 0$ for all $k > m$.

2) $l = 1$ or $l = 3$.
If $K_{i,4m-4n_i-l}^{n_i+1} = 0$, then from (13) we get

$$\frac{(4m-8n_i-l)A_{i,-4n_i}^{n_i}}{2(4m-4n_i-l)} =$$
$$= -\frac{(4m-4n_i+2-l)A_{i,4m-4n_i+4-l}^{n_i}}{4(4m-4n_i-l)}\times$$
$$\times(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4m-4n_i+4-l)(4m-4n_i+3-l)(4m-4n_i+1-l)(4m-4n_i-l)).$$

But calculating $A_{i,4m-4n_i+4-l}^{n_i}$ recursively using (12) we see that the expression above is not true.

□

We know from Lemma 3.3 that $A_{i,-4k}^{k} = 0$ for all $k \geqslant n_i + 1$. So for $k \geqslant n_i + 1$ we have

$$K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1} =$$
$$= \frac{(4m-4k+2-l)K_{i,4m-4k+4-l}^{k}}{4(4m-4k-l)}\times$$
$$\times(4\varphi_{i,-4} - (4m-4k+4-l)(4m-4k+3-l)(4m-4k+1-l)(4m-4k-l)) \neq 0$$

So, if there exists a g such that $f_{g+1} = 0$, then for some k coefficient $A_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k+1} = \varphi_{i,4m-l}K_{i,4m-4k-l}^{k} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{i,4m-l} = 0$.

Now suppose that $g > n_i$. Then

$$f_1 = \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}}{2(x-a_i)^4} + \frac{\varphi_{i,0}}{2} + C_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{t=m-1}\frac{\varphi_{i,4t}(x-a_i)^{4t}}{2} + \frac{\varphi_{i,4m-l}(x-a_i)^{4m-l}}{2} + ...,$$

where $m \geqslant n_i + 1$. We see that

$$f_k = \sum_{t=-k}^{t=m-k} A_{i,4t}^{k}(x-a_i)^{4t} + A_{i,4m-4k+4-l}^{k}(x-a_i)^{4m-4k+4-l} + ...$$

If $k > n_i$, we get

$$K^{k+1}_{i,4m-4k-l} = \frac{(4m-4k+2-l)K^{k}_{i,4m-4k+4-l}}{4(4m-4k-l)}\times$$
$$\times(4\varphi_{i,-4}-(4m-4k+4-l)(4m-4k+3-l)(4m-4k+1-l)(4m-4k-l)).$$

So, we obtain $K^{k+1}_{i,4m-4k-l} \neq 0$ for $l=1,3$ and $k>n_i$ because

$4\varphi_{i,-4}-(4m-4k+4-l)(4m-4k+3-l)(4m-4k+1-l)(4m-4k-l)) \neq 0,$

If $k=m>n_i$ and $l=2$, then $K^{m+1}_{i,-2}=0$ because of factor $(4m-4k+2-l)$. But if L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, then there exists a $k \leqslant g+1$ such that $A^{k}_{i,4m-4k+3} = A^{k}_{i,4m-4k+1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{i,4m-1} = \varphi_{i,4m-3} = 0$.

Theorem 1.1 is proved.

3.2 Proof of corollaries and examples

We know that

$$f_2 = \frac{3(\varphi_{i,-4}-280)\varphi_{i,-4}}{8(x-a_i)^8} + \frac{\varphi_{i,-4}(3\varphi_{i,0}+\widetilde{C}_1)}{4(x-a_i)^4} + \widetilde{C}_2 + O((x-a_i)),$$

where $\widetilde{C}_i$ are constants and depend on C_i. Also $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4(n_i+1)} = 0$ and that's why

$$f_{n_i+1} = \sum_{t=n_i}^{t=1} \frac{A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4t}}{(x-a_i)^{4t}} + \widetilde{C}_{n_i+1} + O((x-a_i)),$$

We must choose constants $C_1, ...C_g$ to vanish principal part of Laurent series of function f_{n_i+1}. This is always possible to do because $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4n_i}$ linearly depend on C_1, $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4n_i+4}$ linearly depend on C_1 and C_2, $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4n_i+8}$ depend on C_1, C_2, C_3, $A^{n_i+1}_{i,-4}$ depend linearly on $C_1, ..., C_{n_i}$. We know that only constant function can be holomorphic and bounded function on $\mathbb{C}$. Hence $f'_{n_i+1} \equiv 0$. Corollary 1.2 is proved.

If we take $C_1 = -42g_2$, then we obtain Example 1.4.

3.3 Conjecture

Consider f_k, where $k \geqslant n_i+1$. Assume that $u(x)$ has m poles (in the fundamental parallelogram or $\mathbb{C}$). Take $S = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{i=m} n_i + 1$. If $\varphi_{i,4k-l} = 0$,

$\varphi_{i,4r-1} = \varphi_{i,4r-3} = 0$, where $k = 0, ..., n_i$ and $r = n_i + 1, ..., S$. We see from Lemma 3.3 that degree of pole at a_i is not greater than n_i for any k . We get that $A^k_{i,-4n_i}$ linearly depends on $C_1, ..., C_{k-n_i}$, $A^k_{i,-4n_i+4}$ linearly depends on $C_1, ..., C_{k-n_i+1}$, $A^k_{i,-4}$ depends on $C_1, ..., C_k$. So, we must choose constants to vanish $S-1$ terms and we have S constants. Apparently this linear system always has solutions , but it is not proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.5

Consider the differential equation

$$\frac{d^n w(x)}{dx^n} + \widetilde{P}_1(x)\frac{d^{n-1}w(x)}{dx^{n-1}} + ... + \widetilde{P}_{n-1}(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} + \widetilde{P}_n(x)w(x) = 0. \qquad (14)$$

We know from theory of ordinary differential equations (see [14] chapter 16) that if $w(x)$ has singularity at point a, then $P_i(x)$ has singularity at point a for some i. A singularity is called regular if coefficients P_k have pole of order not greater than k for all k. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $a = 0$ and we can write (14) in the following form

$$x^n\frac{d^n w(x)}{dx^n} + x^{n-1}P_1(x)\frac{d^{n-1}w(x)}{dx^{n-1}} + ... + xP_{n-1}(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} + P_n(x)w(x) = 0,$$

where coefficients $P_i(x)$ haven't pole at point a. . Solutions in a neighborhood of regular singularity have the form (see [14] chapter 16)

$$w(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^{m+\sigma}. \qquad (15)$$

By L denote the operator $x^n\frac{d^n w(x)}{dx^n} + x^{n-1}P_1(x)\frac{d^{n-1}w(x)}{dx^{n-1}} + ... + xP_{n-1}(x)\frac{dw(x)}{dx} + P_n(x)w(x) = 0$ and
$[\sigma + m]_n = (\sigma + m)(\sigma + m - 1)...(\sigma + n - m + 1)$. We get

$$Lw = L(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^{m+\sigma}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m x^{m+\sigma} f(x, m+\sigma),$$

where $f(x, m+\sigma) = [\sigma+m]_n + P_1(x)[\sigma+m]_{n-1} + [\sigma+m]_1 P_{n-1}(x) + P_n(x) = \sum\limits_{\lambda=0}^{\infty} f_\lambda(m+\sigma)x^\lambda$. If $Lw = 0$, then

$c_0 f_0(\sigma) = 0$
$c_1 f_0(\sigma + 1) + c_0 f_1(\sigma) = 0$
....

$c_m f_0(\sigma+m)+c_{m-1}f_1(\sigma+m-1)+...+c_0 f_m(\sigma)=0.$

Since $c_0\neq 0$, it follows that

$$f_0(\sigma)=[\sigma]_n+[\sigma]_{n-1}P_1(0)+...+[\sigma]_1P_{n-1}(0)+P_n(0)=0. \qquad (16)$$

Let σ be a root of (16). If $f_0(\sigma+m)\neq 0$, where m is integer, then we can find constants c_m by the following formulas (see [14] chapter 16)

$$c_m=\frac{(-1)^m c_0 F_m(\sigma)}{f_0(\sigma+1)f_0(\sigma+2)...f_0(\sigma+m)},$$

where

$$F_m(\sigma)=\begin{vmatrix} f_1(\sigma+m-1), & f_2(\sigma+m-2), & ..., & f_{m-1}(\sigma+1), & f_m(\sigma)\\ f_0(\sigma+m-1), & f_1(\sigma+m-2), & ..., & f_{m-2}(\sigma+1), & f_{m-1}(\sigma)\\ 0, & f_0(\sigma+m-2), & ..., & f_{m-3}(\sigma+1), & f_{m-2}(\sigma)\\ ...... & ...... & ..., & ...., & ......\\ 0, & 0, & ..., & f_0(\sigma+1), & f_1(\sigma) \end{vmatrix}$$

So, if σ_i, σ_j are roots of $f_0(\sigma)=0$ and $\sigma_i-\sigma_j$ is not integer for all $i\neq j$, then all solutions of (14) have the form $x^\sigma g(x)$, where $g(x)$ is a holomorphic function. If for some i,j number $\sigma_i-\sigma_j\in\mathbb{Z}$, then solutions have the form $g_0(x)ln^k x+g_1(x)ln^{k-1}x+...+g_k(x)$, where $g_i(x)$ could be equal zero.
Consider the operator $L_4=\partial_x^4+u(x)$. We proved before that if L_4 commutes with an operator of order $4g+2$, then $u(x)$ can have pole only of order 4 and $\varphi_{i,-4}=n_i(4n_i+1)(4n_i+3)(4n_i+4)$. This means that eigenfunctions of L_4 have regular singularities. Solutions of (16) have the form
$\sigma_{i,1}=\frac{1}{2}(1-4n_i-\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,2}=\frac{1}{2}(1-4n_i+\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,3}=\frac{1}{2}(5+4n_i-\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$
$\sigma_{i,4}=\frac{1}{2}(5+4n_i+\sqrt{1-16n_i-16n_i^2})$.
This means that solutions can have logarithmic terms because $\sigma_{i,3}-\sigma_{i,1}=\sigma_{i,4}-\sigma_{i,2}=4n_i+2$. But we proved that if L_4 commutes with operator $4g+2$, then $\varphi_{4k-l}=0$, $k=0,...,n_i$, $l=1,2,3$. Hence, eigenfunctions in a neighborhood of pole a_i have the form

$$\psi_{i,k}(x)=\alpha_0x^{\sigma_{i,k}}+\alpha_4x^{\sigma_{i,k}+4}+...+\alpha_{4n_i}x^{\sigma_{i,k}+4n_i}+\alpha_{4n_i+1}x^{\sigma_{i,k}+4n_i+1}+\alpha_{4n_i+2}x^{\sigma_{i,k}+4n_i+2}+...$$

Easy to check that we can find all coefficients explicitly. So logarithmic terms will not appear.

References

[1] Burchnall J.-L., Chaundy T.W. Commutative ordinary differential operators, Proc. London Math. Soc. 21 (1923), 420-440; Proc. Royal Soc. London (A) 118 (1928), 557–583.

[2] I.M. Krichever, Integration of nonlinear equations by the methods of algebraic geometry, Functional Anal. Appl., 11:1 (1977), 12–26.

[3] I.M. Krichever. Commutative rings of ordinary linear differential operators, Functional Anal. Appl., 12:3 (1978), 175–185.

[4] I.M. Krichever, S.P. Novikov. Holomorphic fiberings and nonlinear equations. Finite zone solutions of rank 2, Sov. Math., Dokl. 20(4), 650–654 (1979).

[5] O.I. Mokhov. Commuting ordinary differential operators of rank 3 corresponding to an elliptic curve, Russian Math. Surveys, 37:4 (1982), 129–130.

[6] O.I. Mokhov. Commuting differential operators of rank 3, and nonlinear differential equations, Math. USSR, Izvestiya, 35:3 (1990), 629–655.

[7] A.E. Mironov. Self-adjoint commuting ordinary differential operators, Inventiones mathematicae. 2014. Vol. 197, No. 2. P. 417–431.

[8] Dixmier, Jacques. Sur les algebres de Weyl. Bulletin de la Societe Mathematique de France 96 (1968): 209–242.

[9] A.E. Mironov. Periodic and rapid decay rank two self-adjoint commuting differential operators, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, V. 234, 2014, P. 309–322.

[10] O. I. Mokhov. On Commutative Subalgebras of the Weyl Algebra Related to Commuting Operators of Arbitrary Rank and Genus, Mathematical Notes, vol. 94:2 (2013), 298–300

[11] O.I.Mokhov. Commuting ordinary differential operators of arbitrary genus and arbitrary rank with polynomial coefficients. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, V. 234, 2014, P. 323–336.

[12] V S Oganesyan, "Commuting differential operators of rank 2 and arbitrary genus g with polynomial coefficients", Russian Math. Surveys, 2015, 70 (1), 165–167.

[13] V.S.Oganesyan. Commuting differential operators of rank 2 with polynomial coefficients, Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 50:1 (2016), arXiv:1409.4058.

[14] E.L.Ince. Ordinary differential equations, Dover, New York, 1956.

[15] F. Grunbaum, Commuting pairs of linear ordinary differential operators of orders four and six. Phys. D, 31:3 (1988), 424–433.

[16] E. Previato, G. Wilson, Differential operators and rank 2 bundles over elliptic curves, Compositio Math. 81:1 (1992), 107-119.

[17] V. N. Davletshina. Commuting differential operators of rank 2 with trigonometric coefficients, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh, Volume 56 (2015), 513-519.

[18] V. N. Davletshina. On self-adjoint commuting differential operators of rank two, Sib. Èlektron. Mat. Izv, Volume 10 (2013), 109-112.